CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

UNCLASSIFIED

5.6981

CIA/OER/5-06431-74

28 August 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Mr. Stephen Bosworth

Acting Director, Office of Fuels

and Energy State Department

SUBJECT

Implications of a Voting Plan for the Integrated Emergency Program

(TIEP ; MEMBER COUNTRY NOTING Shales) /EL

Attached is the analysis of voting shares that you requested. We would be pleased to provide any further 112100 WXX analyses that you may require.

Attachment:

Distribution: S-6431

Orig & 1 - Addressee

(28 Aug 74)

STAT

UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP85T00875R001900030059-1

STAT



IMPLICATIONS OF A VOTING PLAN FOR THE INTEGRAGED EMERGENCY PROGRAM

Introduction

- 1. This report answers two questions:
- -- Under a particular weighted voting plan, to be described below, what share of the total vote would be allocated to each country participating in the Integrated Emergency Program (IEP)?
- -- How do the voting shares vary with changes in the list of countries participating in the IEP?

The Voting Plan

2. We assume that each IEP member gets a number of votes equal to the member's percentage share of the IEP group's total oil consumption, plus three additional votes. Changes in a member's share of the total oil consumption, caused by changes in market conditions or variations in the IEP group's composition, can affect the member's share of the total vote (see Table 1).

Key Judgments

3. Should a 60% vote be required to pass a resolution, the US could block passage in only one of the six IEP group compositions listed in Table 1. The EC could also block passage in only one group combination. Thus the US voting advantage would be served best by deleting Norway from the Energy Coordinating Group (ECG), which is now the IEP group. In contrast, EC interests would be served by adding France to the ECG.

UNCLASSIFIED

Table 1

Voting Shares of IEP Member Countries 1/(as a percentage of the total vote)

	Membership of the IEP Group					
	kcg²/	ECG Minus Norvay	ECG Plus	ECG Plus France, Evolen, Austria, and Builterland	ECG Plus France, Sweden Auntria, Gwitzerland Australia, and New realand	OECD Hinum Finland, and Turkey
UNITED STATES	39.50	40.60	.6.11	32.09	31.08	28.13
JAPA!!	13.90	14.28	12.81	11.71	11.08	10.06
CANADA	5.99	6.15	5.60	5.16	4.90	4.48
HI:LGJ VII	3.53	3.62	3,37	3,12	2.98	2.74
DUHHARK	3.07	3,14	2.94	2.75	2.62	2.42
GURITATIY	8.83	9.06	8.18	7,50	7.12	6.48
TEFLAND	2.43	2.49	2.36	2.21	2.12	1.97
ITALY	6.73	6.91	6.28	5,77	5.40	5.00
LUXIIIBOURG	2.28	2.33	2.23	2.09	2,01	1.86
BETHERLARDS	4.01	. 4.11	3.80	3,52	3.35	3.08
koruay	2.59	0.00	2.51	2.35	2.25	2.00
UNITED KINGDOM	7.13	7.32	6.64	6.10	5.80	5.28
FRANCE	0.00	0.00	7.17	6.59	6.25	5.69
801:14:11	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,24	3.00	2.84
AUSTRIA	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.42	2.32	2.14
SUITEFRLAND	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.59	2.48	2.29
AUSTRALIA	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,96	2.72
HIW ZEALAND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,10	1.98
GREEGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.06
ICELAUD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.83
PORTGGAL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
SPAI!!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	2.92
$US + \mathcal{J}\Lambda P\Lambda H$	53.40	54.88	48.91	44.59	42.16	38.19
FC 4/ E,	38.01	30,90	42.97	39.65	37.74	34.52
orner run 🛂	2.59	0.00	2.51	10.60	•	18.15
TOTAL .	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.90	100.00

^{1.} The voting scheme gives each member a number of votes equal to its percentage share of the IEP Group's total oil consumption, plus three additional votes.

^{2.} The ECG consists of the United States, Japan, Canada, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, and the United Kingdom.

^{3.} The OECU consists of all the countries listed in the table, plus Finland and Turkey. Yugoslavia is an associate member of the OECD.

^{4.} The EC consists of Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and France.

^{5.} Other Europe includes Norway, Sweden, Austria, Switzerland, Greece, Iceland, Portuga Approved Pror Release 2005/12/14: CIA-RDP85T00875R001900030059-1